

MAR 11 2008
Attorney Docket No. 019959-003200US

PTO FAX NO.: 1-571-273-8300

TO: Examiner Abdullahi Elmi Salad

Group Art Unit 2157

FOR THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF

EXAMINER: Abdullahi Elmi Salad

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

The following documents in re Application of IVY PEI-SHAN HSU et al., Application No. 09/670,487, filed September 26, 2000 for GLOBAL SERVER LOAD BALANCING are being facsimile transmitted to Examiner Salad.

Documents Attached

1. Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (1 page)
2. Memorandum (1 page)

Number of pages being transmitted, including this page: 3

Dated: March 11, 2008


Valerie Peterson

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: 650-326-2400
61308267 v1

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/670,487 First Named Applicant: Hsu, Ivy Pei-Shan
Examiner: Abdullahi Elmi Salad Art Unit: 2157 Status of Application: Pending (On Final)

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Salad (2) Sujit B. Kotwal (43,336)
(3) _____ (4) _____

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 11 2008**

Proposed Date of Interview: March 13, 2008 (Thu) Proposed Time: 2:00PM EST, 11:00 PST

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO
If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej _____	86 _____	Logan + Andrews _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Rej _____	70 _____	Logan + Andrews _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached (Please see attached memo)

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:
See attached memo.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

S. B. Kotwal
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Sujit B. Kotwal
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

43,336
Registration Number, if applicable

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 11 2008

Memorandum

To: Examiner Abdullahi Elmi Salad Telephone: 571-272-4009
Art Unit: 2157 FAX: 571-273-8300

From: Sujit Kotwal (Reg. No. 43,336)
Tel: 650-324-6365

Date: March 11, 2008

Re: Telephone Interview – Application No. 09/670,487

Client-Matter No: 019959-003200US

Proposed date and time: Thursday, March 13, 2008 at 2:00 PM EST (11:00 AM PST)

Proposed Agenda for Interview:

Discuss claims 70 and 86 and related rejections.

For Claim 86

- In the latest office action, the Examiner did not respond to Applicant's arguments for patentability presented in the previously filed response (filed Feb 7, 2007). Discuss Examiner's response.

- Discuss how Logan and Andrews fail to teach the feature of selecting a "best network address" based upon which network address has been least recently selected from a plurality of network addresses as the best network address in response to previous queries.

For Claim 70

- Discuss how the feature of "ordering based upon round trip time", as recited in claim 70, is not obvious in light of Logan and/or Andrews. Neither reference teaches ordering a plurality of network addresses based upon round trip time, as recited in claim 70.

Please call me if you have any questions.

61303300 v1